U.S. Application Serial No. 10/645,394 - Filed: August 21, 2003

Amendment Dated: August 30, 2005

Reply to Office Action Dated: June 16, 2005

## REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

In the Office Action mailed June 16, 2005, the Examiner has rejected Claims 10-12 under 35 USC §102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Moser, rejected Claims 13, 15, 16, 18, and 20 under 35 USC §102(b) as being clearly anticipated by DeBolt et al., and rejected Claim 17 under 35 USC §103(a) as being unpatentable over DeBolt et al. in view of Moser. Further, the Examiner has kindly indicated that Claims 1-9 are allowed, and Claims 14 and 19 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. By this paper, Claims 13 and 18 have been amended to more particularly point out that which the Applicant regards as the invention by including the allowable subject matter of Claim 14 and 19 (now cancelled). Moreover, Claims 10-12, 14, and 19 have been cancelled without prejudice. Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that independent Claims 13 and 18, now amended to include indicated allowable subject matter, and Claims 15-17 and 20, respectively dependent on Claim 13 or 18, are now allowable.

Applicants are not aware of any additional patents, publications, or other information not previously submitted to the Patent and Trademark Office which would be required under 37 C.F.R. §1.99.

This Application is now believed to be in condition for favorable reconsideration and early allowance, and such actions are respectfully requested.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees in connection with this communication to Eastman Kodak Company, Deposit Account No. 05-0225.

A duplicate copy of this request is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

Lawrence P. Kessler

Attorney for Applicants

Registration No. 24,637

LPK:cvn Attachment(s)

Telephone No. (585) 253-0123

Facsimile No. (585) 477-4646